PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D	09	DEC	2004
-------	----	-----	------

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABIL TY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference			
18030-PCT	FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No.	International filing da	te (day/month to-	
PCT/US04/03718	00 February 2004 (00		Priority date (day/month/year)
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification	.02.2004) and IPC	13 February 2003 (13.02.2003)
IPC(7): A 01 N 37/00 and US Cl.: 514/4	578		
Applicant			
WELLSTAT THERAPEUTICS CORPO			
			ished by this International Preliminary
2. This REPORT Consists of	a total of <u>5</u> sheets, i	including this cover she	et
3. This report is also accompa	anied by ANNEXES,	comprising:	
a. (sent to the applicar	nt and to the Internati	onal Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:
succes of the	description claims as	od/on decester and a	
of this report 70.16 and Sec	and/or sheets contained and/or sheets	ining rectifications authoristrative Instructions).	have been amended and are the basis norized by this Authority (see Rule
amendment th	hat goes beyond the	disclosure in the interior	is Authority considers contain an ternational application as filed, as
indicated in ite	em 4 of Box No. I and	the Supplemental Roy	ernational application as filed, as
b (sent to the carrier(s))	: International Burea	u only) a total of (ind	licate type and number of electronic
carrier(s))) - voide 01 (Hg	neate type and number of electronic
as indicated in the	a sequence listing and	l/or tables related there	to, in computer readable form only,
Administrative Inst	ructions).	Relating to Sequence	to, in computer readable form only, Listing (see Section 802 of the
4. This report contains indicati	•		
	s of the report	lowing items:	
Box No. II Prior	_		
	•		
Box No. III Non-	-establishment of opir icability	nion with regard to nove	elty, inventive step and industrial
	of unity of invention		
Box No. V Reas	oned statement unde	r Article 35(2) with -	opposed to
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain decreases			
Box No. VI Certa	ain documents cited	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	such statement
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application			
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international ambiguities			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of	
10 September 2004 (10.09.2004)			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ US		02 December 2004 (02.1	12.2004)
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US		Authorized officer	
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450		Ms. Cecilia Tsang	-pinece tora
iscsimila No. (700) 200 200 1450			
orm PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(Jamuary 20	004)	Telephone No. 703-308-	-1235
,			——————————————————————————————————————

' INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.	
PCT/US04/03718	

Box No. I Basis of the report
1. With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed"
the international application as originally filed/furnished
the description:
pages 1-68 as originally filed/furnished
pages* NONE received by this Authority on pages* NONE received by this Authority on
S and reductity on
pages 69-77 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
the drawings:
pages NONE as originally filed/furnished
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
the description, pages NONE
the claims, Nos_NONE
the drawings, sheets/figs NONE
the sequence listing (specify): NONE
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify): NONE
4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
the description, pages NONE
the claims, Nos_NONE
the drawings, sheets/figs NONE
the sequence listing (specify): NONE
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify): NONE
* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "and and I had
orm PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (January 2004)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.	
PCT/US04/03718	

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The questions whether the claimed investigation and industrial applicability
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:
the entire international application
claims Nos. 12 and 13
because:
the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 12-13
the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:
the written form has not been furnished
does not comply with the standard the computer readable form has not been furnished does not comply with the standard
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
See Supplemental Box for further details.
orm PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. III) (January 2004)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US04/03718

1. Statement Novelty (N) Claims 1-11 and 14-23 YES Claims NONE Inventive Step (IS) Claims 1-11, 14-23 YES Claims NONE Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-11 and 14-23 YES Claims NONE NONE 1. Claims 1-11 and 14-23 YES Claims 1-11 and 14-23 YES Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the compounds embraced in claims 19-22 or the method of using the said compounds as described in claims 1-18 and 23. Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Inventive Step (IS) Claims NONE Claims 1-11, 14-23 Claims NONE Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-11 and 14-23 Claims NONE Claims NONE VES Claims NONE NO 2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the compounds embraced in claims 19-22 or the method of using the said compounds as described in claims 1-18 and 23. Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus meet industrial confinctions are likely likely because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the compounds embraced in claims 19-22 or the method of using the said compounds as described in claims 1-18 and 23.	1. Statement				
Inventive Step (IS) Claims 1-11, 14-23 YES Claims NONE Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-11 and 14-23 YES Claims NONE VES Claims NONE 2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the compounds embraced in claims 19-22 or the method of using the said compounds as described in claims 1-18 and 23. Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus meet industrial conflictability because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the compounds embraced in claims 1-18 and 23.	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-11 and 14-23	YES	
Claims NONE Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-11 and 14-23 YES Claims NONE NO 2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the compounds embraced in claims 19-22 or the method of using the said compounds as described in claims 1-18 and 23. Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus meet industrial conflictability because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the compounds embraced in claims 1-18 and 23.		Claims		·-	
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-11 and 14-23 YES Claims NONE 1-11 and 14-23 YES Claims NONE NO 2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the compounds embraced in claims 19-22 or the method of using the said compounds as described in claims 1-18 and 23. Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus meet industrial conflictability because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the compounds embraced in claims 1-18 and 23.	Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	<u>1-11</u> , 14-23	YES	
Claims NONE NO 2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the compounds embraced in claims 19-22 or the method of using the said compounds as described in claims 1-18 and 23. Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus meet industrial conflictability because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the					
Claims NONE NO 2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the compounds embraced in claims 19-22 or the method of using the said compounds as described in claims 1-18 and 23. Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus meet industrial conflictability because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11 and 14-23	VEC	
Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the compounds embraced in claims 19-22 or the method of using the said compounds as described in claims 1-18 and 23. Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus meet industrial analysis to the control of the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4).					
Clams 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.	Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in F compounds embraced in claims 19-22 or the method	u or using the s	id compounds as described in claims	1-18 and 23.	
	Claims 1-11 and 14-23 meet the criteria set out in P claimed can be made or used in industry.	CT Article 33(), and thus meet industrial applicabil	ity because the subject matter	
				_	
				•	
		•			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US04/03718

Supplemental Box	
In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.	
Continuation of:	
·	
	•
•	

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

NOTE OF INFORMAL COMMUNICATION WITH THE APPLICANT

(PCT Rule 66.6)

International application	No.	Applicant's or agent's f	ile reference	Date of informal communication
PCT/US04/03718			(day/month/year)	
Applicant				
WELLSTAT THERAP	EUTICS CORPO	RATION		
	<u> </u>			
Communication	<u>Participants</u>	\triangleright	Identity checked	authorization personally checked known
by telephone	Applicant	: WE/LLS,TAT THE	_	
personal	Agent:	Mr. Lewis K. Kreis		
F	Examiner	(s): Examiner Hector M	Davis	
	ZZ Examiner	(s). Examiner rector ivi	Reyes	
	L			
Summary of communica	tion:			
Prenumary Exam Repor	plicant's represen rt (409) in place o	ntative in order to find out of a Written Opinion (408	if Applicant would). Applicant's repre	accept the preparation of the International sentative agreed with the Examiner's
proposition.				
				•
An optimizer of size Visite is a set of a pomeran use				
An extension of time limit is granted (Form PCT/IPEA/427.				
A copy of this note is being sent to the applicant with Form PCT/IPEA/429.				
PCT/IPEA/424.				
Name and mailing address	ss of the IPEA/U	S		
Mail Stop PCT,	Attn: IPEA/US		Authorized officer	Daniel Daniel
Commissioner fo P.O. Box 1450	r Patents		Ms. Cecilia Tsang	BARLER FRICK
Alexandria, Virg			Telephone No. 70	03-308-1235
Facsimile No. (703) 305- Form PCT/IPEA/428 (July				// /